STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE

A Guide to Rulemaking for State of Maine Agencies Consolidated Checklist Sections

(Last updated April 2, 2025)

This list consolidates the checklist sections that appear in the "Guide to Rulemaking for State of Maine Agencies" ("Guide") into one document. The numbers that appear correspond to the sections of the Guide from which the respective list sections are excerpted. Some numbers do not have a list section that appears after them and are therefore annotated as "Blank."

This checklist is provided for informational purposes only. Information in the checklist is neither legal advice nor a legal opinion.

RULEMAKING STAGE ONE: PRE-PROPOSAL STAGE

| 1 | | |
|---|-------|--------------|
| Has the statutory authority for the rule to be proposed been | | |
| identified? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Does the statutory authority allow or require the promulgation of the | | |
| rule to be proposed? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| | | |
| 2 | | |
| Is the rule to be proposed a routine technical ("RT") rule or major | | |
| substantive ("MS") rule? | □ RT | \square MS |
| | | |
| 3 | | |
| Will the rule to be proposed be promulgated on an emergency basis? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| | | |
| 4 | | |
| Was the rule to be proposed listed in the most recent agency annual | | |
| regulatory agenda? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| If not, has an amended regulatory agenda that lists the rule been | | |
| prepared for submission to the Department of the Secretary of State | | |
| and to the Legislature? | ☐ Yes | □ No |

| 5 | | |
|---|------------|----------|
| Does the rule to be proposed duplicate or potentially conflict with any | | |
| statutes or existing regulations? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Have up to three (3) primary sources of information been identified as | | |
| having been relied upon by the agency in developing the rule to be | | |
| proposed? | □ Yes | □ No |
| proposed. | | |
| 6 | | |
| Is the rule to be proposed formatted and written in a manner | | |
| consistent with the most recent edition of the Maine Legislative | | |
| Drafting Manual? | □ Yes | □ No |
| | □ Yes | |
| Would the rule result in a taking of private property? | □ res | |
| Would the rule result in an unfunded mandate for any county or | - | - |
| municipal government? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Would the rule result in the creation of an equal protection or due | | |
| process issue? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Does the rule include provisions specified by the Legislature? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| | | |
| 7 | | |
| Has the DAG performed a legal pre-review of the draft rule pursuant | | |
| to Executive Order 4-A FY 19/20 [sic] (March 29, 2023), Part I, Sec. B? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| | | |
| 8 | | |
| Will there be a public hearing on the rule to be proposed? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| If a public hearing is to be held, have the date of the hearing and the | | |
| comment deadline been determined in accordance with the | | |
| timeframes stated in the MAPA? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| If a public hearing is not to be held, has the comment deadline been | | |
| determined in accordance with the timeframe stated in the MAPA? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| | | |
| 9 | | |
| Has the head of the agency (or the authorized representative of the | | |
| agency) proposing the rule approved the rulemaking proposal packet? | □ Yes | □ No |
| | □ 1es | |
| If so, has the paper copy of the rulemaking proposal packet been filed | □ V | □ Na |
| (or sent to be filed) with the Department of the Secretary of State? | □ Yes | □ No |
| | | |
| | | |
| RULEMAKING STAGE 2: RULE PROPOSAL STAGE | | |
| | | |
| 10 | | |
| [Blank] | | |

| 11 | | |
|---|-------|------|
| Has an e-copy of the rulemaking proposal packet been provided to the | | |
| Department of the Secretary of State? | □ Yes | □ No |
| | | |
| 12 | | |
| Has notification of the proposed rule and any required accompanying | | |
| documentation been provided to the Office of the Executive Director | | |
| of the Legislative Council? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| | | |
| 13 | | |
| Have all persons and organizations that the agency must notify about | | |
| the rulemaking proposal pursuant to the MAPA been sent | | |
| notification? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Are copies of the proposed rule available to members of the public | | |
| who request copies? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| 1.4 | | |
| 14 Has the proposed wile been posted on the agency's web page? | □ Yes | □ No |
| Has the proposed rule been posted on the agency's web page? | □ 1es | |
| | | |
| 15 | | |
| Was a hearing held on the proposed rule? | □ Yes | □ No |
| If so, on what date was the hearing held?: | _ 133 | |
| 8 | | |
| 16 | | |
| Did the agency collect and compile written comments on the proposed | | |
| rule? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Did the agency collect and compile all testimonial comments on the | | |
| proposed rule? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| | | |
| 17 | | |
| [Blank] | | |
| | | |
| 18 | | |
| Did the agency summarize and respond to all the comments on the | _ | _ |
| rule that were received by the agency? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Has the agency created and is the agency maintaining a file of all | | |
| "testimony, comments, the names of persons who commented and the | | |
| organizations they represent and information relevant to the rule and | | |
| considered by the agency in connection with the formulation, | | |
| proposal [and] adoption of [the] rule"? | ☐ Yes | □ No |

| 19 | | |
|---|--------------|--------|
| Did the agency revise the proposed rule in response to comments or at | | |
| the agency's own discretion? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| If so, are the revisions "consistent with the terms of the proposed | | |
| rule"? | □ Yes | □ No |
| Is the revised rule "substantially different" from the proposed rule? | | |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | □ Yes | □ No |
| If the rule is "substantially different" from the proposed rule, did the | | |
| agency request comments from the public about the changes to the | | |
| proposed rule that the agency made? | □ Yes | □ No |
| If the agency requested comments from the public about the changes to the | he propose | d rule |
| that the agency made, on what date was the comment deadline?: | | |
| If the agency held a public hearing to receive testimony about the change | s to the pro | posed |
| rule, on what date was the hearing?: | - | - |
| Did the agency compile and respond to all additional comments about | | |
| the changes to the proposed rule that were received? | □ Yes | □ No |
| | | |
| 20 | | |
| [Blank] | | |
| | | |
| RULEMAKING STAGE 3: RULE ADOPTION STAGE | | |
| | | |
| 21 | | |
| Was the rule adopted within 120 days after the comment deadline for | | |
| the rule? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Does the rulemaking adoption packet include all the documentation | | |
| that must be filed? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Has the head of the agency (or the authorized representative of the | | |
| agency) adopting the rule approved the rulemaking adoption packet? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| | | |
| 22 | | |
| Has the Department of the Attorney General reviewed and approved | | |
| the adopted rule as to the rule's form and legality? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| If so, did the Department of the Attorney General's review and | | |
| approval occur within 150 days after the comment deadline for the | | |
| rule? | □ Yes | □ No |
| | | |
| | | |
| 23 | | |
| Has the paper copy of the rulemaking adoption packet been filed (or | | |
| sent to be filed) with the Department of the Secretary of State? | □ Yes | □ No |

| If the rule incorporates any codes or standards by reference, have those codes or standards also been filed with the Department of the | | |
|--|----------|----------------|
| Secretary of State? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| 24 | | |
| Have e-copies of a "clean" copy of the adopted rule, the Basis Statement, the Rulemaking Fact Sheet, and the Notice of Agency Rulemaking Adoption form been provided to the Department of the Secretary of State? | □ Yes | □ No |
| 25 | | |
| [Blank] | | |
| | | |
| | СПОР | DE |
| If the rule being promulgated is a <u>routine technical</u> one, then | STOP HE | <u>RE</u> . |
| If the rule being promulgated is a <u>major substantive one</u> , then | CO TO St | en 26 |
| if the full being promuigated is a <u>major substantive one</u> , then | <u> </u> | <u>ep 20</u> . |
| | | |
| 26 | | |
| Has the agency filed the required documentation with the Office of | | |
| the Executive Director of the Legislative Council in accordance with | | |
| the Legislature's "Checklist for Major Substantive Rules Filings"? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Has the provisionally adopted rule been submitted to the Executive | | |
| Director of the Legislative Council by or before the deadline | | |
| identified in statute? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| | | |
| 27 | | |
| Did the Legislature authorize final adoption of the provisionally | | |
| adopted rule through the enactment of a Resolve? | □ Yes | □ No |
| Was a paper copy of the rulemaking adoption packet presented to the | | |
| head of the agency (or the authorized representative of the agency) to | | |
| approve the final adoption of the rule? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Was the rule finally adopted by the agency within 60 days after the | | |
| effective date of the authorizing Resolve? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| 28 | | |
| Was the paper copy of the rulemaking adoption packet for the finally | | |
| adopted rule filed (or sent to be filed) with the Department of the | | |
| Secretary of State? | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Were e-copies of the "clean" copy of the finally adopted rule and the | | |
| MAPA-4 form provided to the Department of the Secretary of State? | ☐ Yes | □ No |

[Blank]

Reference:

All <u>rulemaking forms</u> and the <u>Agency Designation of Rulemaking Liaison</u> are available here in Word format.